

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/537,417	06/02/2005	Kimiyasu Satoh	267653US6PCT	6457	
OBLON, SPIN	7590 06/18/200 /AK. MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			CHOWDHURY, AFROZA Y		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/18/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/537,417	SATOH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
AFROZA Y. CHOWDHURY	2629	

	AFROZA Y. CHOWDHURY	2629						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 22 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In lower, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: [toox 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE [TRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.)							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee he under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, it crickeds. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(a) is								
NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. UThe Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise seem wissues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 San attached Nation of Nan Co.	maliant Amandment (DTOL 224)					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. \(\subseteq \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \) will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
/Bipin Shalwala/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner maintains her rejection the same as described in her last office action. In page 8 of Remarks, 2nd paragraph, Applicants argue that Shigkei does not disclose a control portion that driven the operation surface gradually in one direction and then in the reverse direction. The Examiner respectfully disagrees to this assertion. Shigkeit teches a control portion that driven the operation surface gradually in one direction and the in the reverse direction get (50.34) [10.46]), in page 8 of Remarks, 3rd paragraph, Applicants argue that Divigalpitiva is merely describing the "relax" time of the particular materials involved without any particular description of driving the actuator. However, Divigalpitiva teaches relax" time of a pressure activated touch screen device ((50.33)). Thus, Shigeki (as modified by Yoshitaka and Divigalpitiva) teaches all the claim limitations of Claim 1.